

Applicant : David J. Boothby
Serial No. : 09/939,526
Filed : August 24, 2001
Page : 3

Attorney's Docket No.: 05110-006002

REMARKS

Attached is a marked-up version of the changes being made by the current amendment.

Applicant has substituted columns 1-30 with attached columns 1-30 in double-column format. The specification has been modified to reflect changes made by certificate of correction. No new matter has been added.

Figures 1, 3, 4A, 4B, 5A, 7, 8, 12, 13, 14, 15, 25B, 30, and 31A have been modified to reflect changes made by certificate of correction. No new matter has been added.

Obvious errors in claims 1, 24, and 48 have been corrected.

In claims 1 and 24, the correction made to the claim at the time the reissue application was filed changed one of the two references to "first database" to "second database". Unfortunately, the change was inadvertently made to the second mention of "first database", when it should have been made to the first mention. That it is the first mention of "first database" that is in error (and that needs to be changed to "second database") is apparent from the context of the claims.

In claim 48, the word "wherein" has been added to improve the readability of the claim.

Applicant : David J. Boothby
Serial No. : 09/939,526
Filed : August 24, 2001
Page : 4

Attorney's Docket No.: 05110-006002

Applicant asks that all claims be examined. Please apply any other charges or credits to
Deposit Account No. 06-1050.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: March 11, 2002

G. Roger Lee Pg No 30,175
G. Roger Lee
Reg. No. 28,963

Fish & Richardson P.C.
225 Franklin Street
Boston, Massachusetts 02110-2804
Telephone: (617) 542-5070
Facsimile: (617) 542-8906

20311392

Version with markings to show changes made

In the claims:

Claims 1, 24, and 48 have been amended as follows:

1. (Twice Amended) A computer implemented method of synchronizing at least a first and a second database, wherein the manner of storing a set of recurring date bearing instances differs between the first and second databases, and at least the first database uses a recurring record to store the set of recurring date bearing instances, the method comprising:

processing a plurality of non-recurring records in the second database to identify a set of non-recurring records storing a set of recurring date bearing instances in the second database;

performing a comparison of the set of non-recurring records of the [first] second database to a recurring record of the [second] first database; and

completing synchronization based on the outcome of the comparison.

24. (Twice Amended) A computer program, resident on a computer readable medium, for synchronizing at least a first and a second database, wherein the manner of storing a set of recurring date bearing instances differs between the first and second databases, and at least the first database uses a recurring record to store the set of recurring date bearing instances, comprising instructions for:

processing a plurality of non-recurring records in the second database to identify a set of non-recurring records storing the set of recurring date bearing instances in the second database;

performing a comparison of the set of non-recurring records of the [first] second database to a recurring record of the [second] first database; and

completing synchronization based on the outcome of the comparison.

48. (Amended) The method of claim 47, wherein the method further comprises selecting the plurality of groups of records based on identity of the contents of the key fields of the records of the first and second database.